Unlike large oil refinery plants that target specific raw material stock, mini-refineries can be used to process unstable hydrocarbon raw material. Therefore, mini-refineries are often supplied with unstable oil or gas condensate. In its processing, the lightest fraction is hydrocarbon gas. Mass gas consumption is 5% of the raw material. Capture of a gas stream containing valuable hydrocarbon components (propane and butane) is perspective in terms of its further processing and, consequently, an increase in the rate of utilization of Ukraine’s energy resources. Therefore, current units’ layouts must be subject to upgrading and modernization.

The paper deals with a low-tonnage oil and gas processing plant (OGPP-20), the layout of which is presented in Figure 1.

The plant works as follows: first, the dehydrated oil enters the raw material tank (VC), from where with the help of pumps (P₁) it is sent to heat exchangers (HE₁-3), then the heated flow turns in turn to separate parts of the tubular heater (H). In the tubular heater, the raw material is heated to the boiling point, and in this state it is fed under the lower plate of the distillation column (C). The reflux stream is fed into the upper part of the column. The upper product of the column (C) is a light fraction removed from the column at a temperature of 150 °C and fed to the air-cooling apparatus (C₁), where the vapors partially condense. The gas-liquid stream enters the separator (VP). Part of the resulting fluid is returned to the column for irrigation. Target fractions are discharged along the height of the column by lateral shoulder straps. The first side fraction is a fraction of 150–200 °С, the second side fraction is a fraction of 200–350 °С. The bottom residue of the column (C) is fuel oil, which is discharged through the lower fitting and sent to the block of heat exchangers (HE₁-3).

As a result of the processing of unstable oil or gas condensate, hydrocarbon gas is formed. As the initial raw material passes through the tank (VC), it also begins to actively release the gas fraction. These two streams, the hydrocarbon gas and the gas fraction from the tank (VC), must be mixed and further processed to produce liquefied propane-butane. To extricate the С₃+ fraction from the gas mixture it is necessary to create conditions under which the transition of hydrocarbons from the gaseous state to the liquid with subsequent separation of the liquid phase will be possible. It is suggested to consider three ways of producing liquefied propane-butane: using separation (Fig. 2a), using a throttle effect (Fig. 2b) and using a deethanizer (Fig. 2c).

The separation process is applied in the following way. By mixing hydrocarbon gases, we obtain a gas stream 1 that is sent to the compressor (C2) for compression. Gas compressed to 1.7 MPa is fed into the

* Corresponding author: info@sumdu.edu.vu

© 2019, Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas.
All rights reserved.
After cooling, we obtain a two-phase stream 3 in which lighter hydrocarbons are in a gaseous state. Separation of hydrocarbons from the liquid phase takes place in a vertical separator (S). Methane-ethane fraction 4 is discharged from the upper part of the separator and liquefied propane-butane 5 from the lower part.

The main difference between the second method of producing the propane-butane fraction is the throttling of the compressed gas-liquid stream, which allows to reduce its temperature, with the pressure remaining equal to 1.7 MPa. This, in turn, will increase the amount of separated propane-butane fraction.

The third way is to separate the gas-liquid stream and obtain the target component, instead of the separator uses a nozzle (deethanizer), with auxiliary equipment – evaporator and heat exchanger.

Therefore, the purpose of the study is to study the processes of obtaining liquefied propane-butane from unstable hydrocarbons for the selection of optimal equipment for the low-tonnage oil preparation plant.

Due to the complexity and duration of surveys and measurements of the performance of real industrial facilities in research related to the development or improvement of methods of obtaining the finished product, numerical methods and software complexes that implement them are often used [1–3]. Typically, to simulate the modes of technological lines researchers use software systems that allow you to calculate the material and thermal balances of these lines, namely ChemCAD, Aspen HYSYS, MATLAB, Mathcad and others [4–6]. It should be noted that these software complexes can be used both individually and in combination to develop more accurate mathematical models and to process the obtained simulation results [7].

One of the main factors for obtaining reliable simulation results in these software complexes is the choice of thermodynamic models for the calculation of technological processes. To investigate separation and heat transfer processes where hydrocarbon components are present, it is recommended to use UNIFACK-value, Soave–Redlich–Kwong (SRK), Rautenbach model and Peng–Robison models, suitable for the calculation of material and thermal balance, the equilibrium constants of hydrocarbon systems at moderate and high pressure values [8–10].

Thus, the tasks of the work are modeling of chemical and technological processes occurring in the equipment OGPP-20, to substantiate the choice of a suitable hardware design for the method of producing propane-butane fraction.

Aspen HYSYS was selected for the computer simulation of chemical engineering processes and operating modes of the basic equipment of a low-tonnage oil and gas processing plant with a LPG unit. The composition of the mixture containing the gas component is demonstrated in Table 1.
Simulation of chemical and technological processes of a hydrocarbon preparation plant

First, we define the component composition of the original mixture and the molar fractions of the components shown in Table 1.

We choose the Peng–Robinson thermodynamic model. We specify additional settings, such as:
- enthalpy calculation method for equation of state – Equation of state;
- Peng–Robinson state equation variant – HYSYS;
- match the equation.

Next we configure the parameters of the original mixture:
- data type – TBP;
- acceleration conditions at true boiling point – ASTM D1160;
- viscosity type – Dynamic;
- temperature for viscosity 1 – 37.78 °C;
- viscosity 2 – 98.89 °C;
- Enter the values of temperature and volume fraction of the liquid in accordance with Table 2.

We create an output stream, set the temperature, pressure and flow rate: temperature 40.0 °C; pressure 0.1013 MPa; mass flow rate 160.0 kg/h.

The last step is a technological layout of hydrocarbons preparation plant with a LPG unit. For each method of propane-butane fraction production, a separate technological layout was developed, taking into account the features of the hardware design (Figures 3–5).

The results of computer simulations of a low-tonnage oil and gas processing plant (OGPP-20) with a LPG unit are shown in Table 3. Chemical-technological process simulations were performed for each method of propane-butane manufacturing and with different operating parameters of the hydrocarbon preparation plant equipment.

The paper considered three possible schemes for creating a LPG unit in OGPP-20 plant: with the use of separation; using the throttle effect; with the use of a deethanizer.

The costs of the propane-butane fraction were calculated, taking into account the permissible saturated vapor pressure: with the use of separation G = 78.8 kg/h;

---

**Table 1 – Gas mixture composition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume fraction, %</th>
<th>Mass fraction, %</th>
<th>Molar fraction, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>17.642</td>
<td>6.422</td>
<td>17.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethan</td>
<td>12.813</td>
<td>8.742</td>
<td>12.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>34.153</td>
<td>34.172</td>
<td>34.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Butane</td>
<td>8.281</td>
<td>10.921</td>
<td>8.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butane</td>
<td>13.247</td>
<td>17.470</td>
<td>13.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neo-Pentane</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>1.938</td>
<td>1.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Pentane</td>
<td>6.609</td>
<td>10.820</td>
<td>6.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Pentane</td>
<td>4.284</td>
<td>7.013</td>
<td>4.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neo-Hexane</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Hexane</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2 – True boiling point and volume fractions of liquid**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>t_0</th>
<th>t_10</th>
<th>t_15</th>
<th>t_20</th>
<th>t_25</th>
<th>t_30</th>
<th>t_35</th>
<th>t_40</th>
<th>Absorbent of diesel fuel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>206  232  265  297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume fraction</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>50.0 55.0 60.0 65.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
using the throttle effect $G = 78.4 \text{ kg/h}$; using a deethanizer $G = 104.0 \text{ kg/h}$. The first two installation options give almost identical values of the mass flow rate of propane-butane. As for the hardware design, it is also practically the same. The third option (with the use of deethanizer) allows to obtain more liquefied propane-butane (approximately by 25 kg/h (600 kg per day)). But this process is more energy consuming and the distillation column is more complex than the separator.

Thus, on the basis of the analysis of calculations of material and energy balances of installations, as well as the complexity of the development and operation of technological equipment, the optimal equipment for the method of manufacturing propane-butane fraction is a unit with the use of a deethanizer.

In future studies, it is planned to carry out computer simulations of the chemical and technological processes occurring in the equipment of the gas condensate processing plant (Fig. 6) to determine the optimal operating parameters for determining possible ways to improve the efficiency and intensity of the separation and heat transfer processes.
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Table 3 – Technical parameters of LPG units using separation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K100 compressor</th>
<th>injection pressure, MPa</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>power, kW</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AT4 heat exchanger</th>
<th>initial temperature, °C</th>
<th>158</th>
<th>158</th>
<th>158</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>final temperature, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thermal load, kW</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>17.96</td>
<td>18.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Consumption of propane-butane fraction, kg / h | 78.8 | 93.3 | 97.4 |
| Saturated vapor pressure of the propane-butane fraction, MPa | 1.69 | 2.05 | 2.185 |
| Saturated vapor pressure of the propane-butane fraction according to GOST 20448–90 at a temperature of 45 °C, MPa | 1.7 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K100 compressor</th>
<th>injection pressure, MPa</th>
<th>5.0</th>
<th>5.0</th>
<th>5.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>power, kW</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AT4 heat exchanger</th>
<th>initial temperature, °C</th>
<th>198</th>
<th>198</th>
<th>198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>final temperature, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thermal load, kW</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.32</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Throttle</th>
<th>final pressure, MPa</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>temperature after throttle, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Consumption of propane-butane fraction, kg / h | 78.4 | 97.7 | 108.4 |
| Saturated vapor pressure of the propane-butane fraction, MPa | 1.69 | 2.198 | 2.67 |
| Saturated vapor pressure of the propane-butane fraction according to GOST 20448–90 at a temperature of 45 °C, MPa | 1.7 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K100 compressor</th>
<th>injection pressure, MPa</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>3.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>power, kW</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AT4 heat exchanger</th>
<th>initial temperature, °C</th>
<th>174</th>
<th>174</th>
<th>174</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>final temperature, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thermal load, kW</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>De-ethanizer D</th>
<th>op temperature, °C</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>44</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bottom temperature, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>82.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pressure in the column, MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaporator load, kW</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Consumption of propane-butane fraction, kg / h | 104 | 102 | 95.5 |
| Saturated vapor pressure of the propane-butane fraction, MPa | 1.69 | 1.69 | 1.69 |
| Saturated vapor pressure of the propane-butane fraction according to GOST 20448–90 at a temperature of 45 °C, MPa | 1.7 |

---


Simulation of chemical and technological processes of a hydrocarbon preparation plant
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Моделювання хіміко-технологічних процесів в установці підготовки вуглеводнів
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Описано малотоннажну установку УПН/УПК-20 підготовки вуглеводневої сировини, її основне технологічне обладнання та принцип роботи. Запропоновано три способи отримання зрідженої пропан-бутанової фракції та її апаратурне оформлення: компресія та конденсація; компресія, конденсація та подальше дроселювання, що дозволяє охолодити стиснений газ до більш низьких температур; ректифікація. За результатами числових досліджень способів отримання зрідженого пропан-бутану у програмі Aspen HYSYS для термодинамічної моделі Peng–Robinson обґрунтовано способ отримання зрідженої пропан-бутанової фракції та його апаратурне оформлення.

Ключові слова: дросельний ефект, комп’ютерне моделювання, малотоннажна установка, пропан-бутан, сепаратор.